Significant Linkage on Chromosome 10p in Families with Bulimia Nervosa
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Bulimia nervosa (BN) is strongly familial, and additive genetic effects appear to contribute substantially to the observed familiality. In turn, behavioral components of BN, such as self-induced vomiting, are reliably measured and heritable. To identify regions of the genome harboring genetic variants conferring susceptibility to BN, we conducted a linkage analysis of multiplex families with eating disorders that were identified through a proband with BN. Linkage analysis of the entire sample of 308 families yielded a double peak, with the highest nonparametric multipoint maximum LOD score (MLS), of 2.92, on chromosome 10. Given the high heritability of self-induced vomiting and the reliability with which it can be measured, we performed linkage analysis in a subset \( n = 133 \) of families in which at least two affected relatives reported a symptom pattern that included self-induced vomiting. The highest MLS (3.39) observed was on chromosome 10, between markers D10S1430 and D10S1423. These results provide evidence of the presence of a susceptibility locus for BN on chromosome 10p. Using simulations, we demonstrate that both of these scores, 2.92 and 3.39, meet the widely accepted criterion for genomewide significance. Another region on 14q meets the criterion for genomewide suggestive linkage, with MLSs of 1.97 (full sample) and 1.75 (subset) at 62 centimorgans from p-ter.

Bulimia nervosa (BN) is a psychiatric disorder characterized by episodes of binge-eating (eating an unusually large amount of food in a discrete period of time and feeling out of control), compensatory behaviors (e.g., self-induced vomiting or laxative abuse) and over-concern with weight and shape. Although eating disorders have been considered to be largely sociocultural in origin, a substantial body of literature has now shown that BN (as well as the related eating disorders anorexia nervosa [AN] and eating disorder not otherwise specified [ED-NOS]) is strongly familial (Lilenfeld et al. 1998; Strober et al. 2000) and that this familiality is due largely to the additive effects of genes (Bulik et al. 2000). Twin studies have estimated the heritability of syndromic BN to be 54%–83% (Kendler et al. 1991; Bulik et al. 1998; Wade et al. 1999; Kortegaard et al. 2001). The diagnosis of BN in population-based samples is, however, less than optimally reliable (Wade et al. 2000), with the agreement for lifetime diagnosis of broadly defined BN assessed at intervals of five years being \( k = .33 \). Reliability is im-
proved when behavioral indicators, such as self-induced vomiting, are used (Wade et al. 2000). Moreover, twin studies that have dismantled the syndrome of BN into its component parts of bingeing and vomiting have shown that there is a substantial, but not perfect, genetic correlation between bingeing and vomiting ($r_g = 0.74$) and that the heritability estimate for self-induced vomiting is particularly high (72%) (Sullivan et al. 1998).

Elsewhere, we have reported a genomewide linkage screen for AN, in which we identified a region suggestive of linkage for AN on chromosome 1p (Grice et al. 2002). Moreover, after incorporating behavioral covariates into the ASP linkage analysis, we identified several areas of suggestive linkage on chromosomes 1, 2, and 13 (Devlin et al. 2002). The present study describes an entirely new nonoverlapping multicenter study, in which an allele-sharing linkage strategy was used to identify loci that may contribute to BN.

The present study was conducted under the principal direction of W.H.K. The sites of collaborative arrangements were selected on the basis of experience in the assessment of eating disorders and geographical distribution. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants, and each site obtained ethical approval from its own institutional review board.

Clinical and diagnostic information on the sample is summarized in table 1. The sample contained families with at least two affected biological relatives, all ascertained through a proband who met Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, fourth edition (DSM-IV), criteria (American Psychiatric Association 1994) for BN and who reported a family history of eating disorders in a first-to-fifth–degree relative. Assessment data were collected from 360 probands and their families. Of the probands included, 97.6% were white, 2.1% were Hispanic, and 0.3% were Asian. In the final analysis, eight probands did not meet modified DSM-IV criteria for purging BN; blood was collected from all eight, and six had at least one affected relative. Of the 352 probands who met the study diagnostic criteria, 342 supplied blood samples, and 331 had an affected relative. Thus, a total of 328 probands who provided blood samples and who had at least one affected relative were included in the study. DNA from 316 of these families was available for inclusion in the molecular analysis for the genome scan.

Recruitment of the families followed standard protocols, as described elsewhere (Kaye et al. 2000). Full assessments were completed on the proband and affected relative(s) (authors’ unpublished data). Eating-disorder diagnoses were confirmed by trained raters, using the Structured Interview for Anorexia Nervosa and Bulimic syndromes (SIAB) (Fichter et al. 1998).

Probands were accepted into the study if they met the following criteria: (1) a DSM-IV lifetime diagnosis of BN, purging type (purging must have included regular vomiting [with other means of purging also allowed], and binging and vomiting must have occurred at least twice a week for a duration of at least 6 mo) and (2) age of 13–65 years. A current or lifetime history of AN was acceptable. Exclusionary criteria for probands included mental retardation, dementia, organic brain syndromes, psychotic disorders (including schizophrenia, schizophréniform disorder, delusional disorder, and schizoaffective disorder), Turner syndrome, and any medical condition that could affect appetite, body weight, or eating (e.g., individuals with diabetes or thyroid conditions were excluded if the onset of the disease preceded the onset of the eating disorder). Individuals with bipolar 1 or bipolar 2 disorder were excluded only if symptoms of BN occurred exclusively during manic or hypomanic episodes. Probands with neurological problems were excluded, with the exception of those who had a seizure disorder that resulted from trauma.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Entire Sample</th>
<th>Subgroup with History of Vomiting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD age (years)</td>
<td>28.16 ± 9.26</td>
<td>29.04 ± 8.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Males</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD BMI:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>21.04 ± 3.02</td>
<td>21.20 ± 3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum (past)</td>
<td>17.09 ± 2.64</td>
<td>17.21 ± 2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum (past)</td>
<td>23.91 ± 3.33</td>
<td>24.10 ± 3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of families with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 affected sibling pair</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or 4 affected siblings</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other affected relative pairs</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Families may have had additional affected relatives.

b Families included, for example, cousin-cousin pairs, aunt-niece pairs, or half siblings.
that occurred after the onset of the eating disorder. Probands whose premorbid weight exceeded the body mass index (BMI) of the 95th percentile for sex and age on the Hebebrand index (Hebebrand et al. 1996) or whose high lifetime BMI was >35 were also excluded.

Affected relatives were biologically related to the proband (e.g., siblings, half siblings, cousins, etc.). Inclusion criteria for affected relatives required that they be 13–65 years of age and that they had received at least one of the following lifetime eating-disorder diagnoses: (1) DSM-IV BN, purging type or nonpurging type; (2) DSM-IV AN, restricting type or binge-eating/purging type; (3) ED-NOS-1, defined as subthreshold AN with the presence of two of three criteria A–C of DSM-IV AN and the absence of binge eating and purging, which must have occurred “more than just experimentally” but may have occurred for <3 mo or at a lower frequency than twice a week; and (5) ED-NOS-3, defined as the presence of purging or other clearly excessive compensatory behaviors, in the absence of objective binge eating, in individuals of normal weight who have either an intense fear of gaining weight or becoming fat or an undue influence of body weight or shape on self-evaluation. Exclusion criteria for affected relatives included all exclusion criteria listed for the probands, with the following additional criteria: (1) MZ twin of the proband; (2) parent-child affected pair, in the absence of an additional affected relative; and (3) a diagnosis of binge-eating disorder as the only lifetime eating-disorder diagnosis.

The etiology of eating disorders, like all complex diseases, is surely heterogeneous. Moreover, relative to AN, the presentation and traits associated with BN are more variable. Therefore, it could be important to seek attributes of BN that minimize its heterogeneity, especially its genetic heterogeneity, and thereby amplify potential linkage signals. For the present study, we were particularly interested in the frequency of vomiting, because of the higher reliability and substantial heritability of vomiting (Sullivan et al. 1998; Wade et al. 2000). In fact, a selection criterion for probands in the present study was that they met DSM-IV criteria for BN with a minimum period of 6 mo of binging and vomiting at least twice a week. Purging behavior, if any, of patients with an eating disorder was assessed using the SIAB (Fichter et al. 1998). On the basis of the argument presented above, our plan for linkage analysis had two stages: first, to analyze the entire sample of families with BN and, second, to analyze families who show noteworthy elevation in vomiting behavior.

To define families exhibiting elevated vomiting behavior, we sought at least one other affected relative who reported regular vomiting behavior. Because, by design, the proband automatically met this criterion, such families would then have two or more individuals with an eating disorder that included vomiting behavior. By this criterion, 133 families (of the 316) were identified for analysis.

After a blood sample was collected from each proband, affected relative, and participating biological parent, DNA was extracted using Gentra Systems reagents, according to the manufacturer’s specifications. The Weber screening set, version 9 (Center for Medical Genetics, Marshfield Medical Research Foundation), which is a screening panel of 386 fluorescently tagged microsatellite markers dispersed at a density slightly <10 cM, was used as the basis for the genomewide scan. Twenty-six microsatellites generated incomplete data on the first round of genotyping and were excluded from further analysis. Exclusion of these markers created no gaps >25 cM in the genome scan. Mean ± SD heterozygosity of the markers was 0.77 ± 0.06. We evaluated markers and pedigrees for Mendelian errors, using the PedCheck program (O’Connell and Weeks 1998). Genotyping errors were set to missing. Nominal and imputed genetic relationships among individuals from the same family were contrasted using the Relpair (Boehnke and Cox 1997) and PREST (McPeek and Sun 2000) programs. We excluded from further analysis eight families in which relationships among affected individuals could not be resolved. Within the 308 families analyzed for linkage, the majority of the affected relative pairs (ARPs) were affected sibling pairs (ASPs). Within these 308 families there were a variety of nonindependent ARPs: 260 ASPs; 14 half siblings; 42 avuncular; 42 cousin; 6 mother-child; and 15 other. Of the families with affected siblings, 42% had participation of one or more parents. Most parents were not affected; among those that were, the family included at least one other affected relative participating in the study.

To estimate marker allele frequencies, we counted alleles while ignoring family relationships. Although population-specific estimates (Lockwood et al. 2001) could be used in the linkage analysis, we found, in a related study, that such estimates had very little impact on the linkage results (Devlin et al. 2002). Linkage analyses were implemented using GeneHunterPlus, module ASM, which assumes a linear model for risk (Kong and Cox 1997; Teng and Siegmund 1998) to obtain greater accuracy of the variance than the original GeneHunter model (Kruglyak et al. 1996).

Uncertainty in identity-by-descent status and relative sparseness of markers across the genome are expected to affect the distribution of the test statistics substantially (Teng and Siegmund 1998; Liu et al. 2001). Thus, to evaluate the results of the linkage analysis, we first performed simulations to determine the distribution of test
statistics when no disease loci were present, by use of the Simulate package (Terwilliger et al. 1993). We generated 1,500 data sets for all 23 chromosomes and both samples (vomiting-enriched and total BN), and we determined two thresholds for multipoint maximum LOD scores (MLS), following Lander and Kruglyak (1995): the MLS expected to be achieved, on average, once per 20 genome scans (genomewide significant linkage) and once per single genome scan (genomewide suggestive linkage). Within the precision of our simulations, the results from the two samples were identical and were therefore combined. The 3,000 simulations yielded critical values for genomewide-significant and suggestive linkage of 2.86 and 1.56, respectively.

Significant linkage was achieved on chromosome 10 when the entire sample of families with BN was analyzed (fig. 1). The peak MLS score was 2.92 at 44 cM p-ter (on the basis of the asymptotic distribution of test statistic, \(P = 0.00024\); by simulation, occurs at rate \(r = 0.043\) per genome scan). Interestingly, a second peak occurred further p-ter, with an MLS of 2.70 at 24 cM, which approached significance (\(P = 0.0005, r = 0.074\)). Suggestive linkage occurred on chromosome 14 (fig. 1), with an MLS of 1.97 (\(P = 0.0023, r = 0.39\)).

One instance of significant linkage occurred in the cohort enriched for vomiting (fig. 1). The peak MLS score, 3.39 (\(P = 0.00008, r = 0.014\)), occurred on chromosome 10, at 40 cM from p-ter, between D10S1431 and D10S1423. An instance of suggestive linkage occurred on chromosome 14 (fig. 1), with an MLS of 1.75 (\(P = 0.004, r = 0.65\)) at 62 cM from p-ter, between D14S587 and D14S592.

Camp and Farnham (2001) provide a means of adjusting for multiple testing within the context of multiple genome scans. Their approach is to first calculate the correlation between the scans (more precisely, the mean-square error \([R^2]\)), to use this correlation to determine the number of “effective” genome scans, and then to correct the critical value for significance. Application of their approach to our results is straightforward; interpretation is less so, because of the sequential nature of our tests and the fact that the first genome scan (on the full data) is significant. Nonetheless, the correlation between the scans is 0.748. Thus, \(R^2 = 0.56\), and the number of effective scans is 1.44. Camp and Farnham’s approach then suggests the critical threshold for the second genome scan (for the cohort enriched for vomiting) is \(~3.03\). The result for the second scan (MLS = 3.39) is therefore significant, even after correction.

To evaluate the robustness of these linkage results to variation in allele frequencies, we also analyzed the data from chromosomes 10 and 14, using allele frequencies estimated from our study of families with AN (Devlin et al. 2002). The use of these estimated frequencies had no material impact on the present results; in fact, the MLS scores are remarkably similar. Analysis of the genetic differentiation between these populations revealed why the linkage results are similar. By using the alleles measured on chromosomes 10 and 14 (a total of 34 markers and 358 alleles) to estimate the differentiation between the populations and by using methods of Cockerham (1969, 1972), we find an estimated Wright’s \(F_{st}\) of 0.00077. These results are consistent with limited heterogeneity among populations of European ancestry, which is the ancestry of the vast majority of individuals in both the BN and the AN samples (Kaye et al. 2000), and with the fact that both samples are large, thereby minimizing sampling error.

This is the second multinational collaborative genomewide linkage scan of eating disorders. The first, with ARPs identified on the basis of a proband with AN, showed evidence of susceptibility genes for AN on chro-

![Figure 1](image-url) Maximazed LOD scores for chromosomes with significant or suggestive linkages for the sample enriched for vomiting (thick line) and the entire BN sample (thin line). Horizontal reference lines give simulation-based criteria for significant (solid line) and suggestive (dashed line) linkage for this genome scan. To see the results for the entire genome scan, please go to Computational Genetics Web site.
mosome 1 (Devlin et al. 2002; Grice et al. 2002). The present study ascertained ARPs on the basis of a proband with BN. Moreover, we reduced sample and likely genetic heterogeneity by defining a subset of ARPs that was enriched for severe vomiting behavior. This decision was based on evidence that self-induced vomiting is both reliably measured (Wade et al. 2000) and strongly heritable (Sullivan et al. 1998). This strategy proved fruitful, because the strongest linkage signal on chromosome 10p was in the sample enriched for vomiting. The full BN sample yielded a smaller peak, yet significant, peak in the same region, with a second peak further p-ter that approached significance. It is conceivable that this double peak represents two susceptibility loci associated with syndromal BN. One locus, between D10S1430 and D10S1423, which was elevated in the vomiting-enriched sample and in the entire BN sample, may be related to susceptibility to self-induced vomiting. The second part of the double peak may be related to another behavioral or psychological feature of BN (e.g., binge-eating) or to a personality feature (e.g., impulsivity or obsessionality) commonly associated with susceptibility to the syndrome.

It is also noteworthy that the present genome scan, based on ascertainment of probands with BN, yielded linkage results that were different from our previous scan based on ascertainment of probands with AN. AN and BN are related, but not identical, conditions. Between one-third and one-half of individuals with AN develop BN during the course of illness (Smith et al. 1993; Gillberg et al. 1994; Schork et al. 1994; van der Ham et al. 1994; Eckert et al. 1995), and family studies suggest that the disorders do not breed true (Lilenfeld et al. 1998; Strober et al. 2000). Family studies of probands with AN report an increased risk of BN among first-degree relatives, and family studies of probands with BN report elevated risk for AN among first-degree relatives (Lilenfeld et al. 1998; Strober et al. 2000). However, our study designs and careful diagnostic procedures enabled maximal differentiation between participants with AN and those with BN. One of strongest linkage signals in the AN scan was observed in a subsample of ARPs concordant for a rigorous definition of restricting subtype of AN (i.e., no binge-eating) (Grice et al. 2002). Proband status in the present study required the presence of the purging subtype of BN (although a history of AN was acceptable). Thus, our phenotypic definitions may have optimized our ability to detect differences in genetic susceptibility to AN and genetic susceptibility to BN.

Suggestive and, occasionally, significant evidence for linkage to 10p has also been observed in obesity, alcoholism, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and type 1 diabetes in females (Davies et al. 1994; Reed et al. 1997; Rice et al. 1997; Faraone et al. 1998; Hager et al. 1998; Mein et al. 1998; Schwab et al. 1998; Straub et al. 1998; Paterson and Petronis 1999; Hinney et al. 2000). Paterson and Petronis (1999) hypothesize that linkage signals in this region may be related to the phenomenon of transmission ratio distortion (TRD)—specifically, a biased transmission of alleles identical-by-descent to female offspring. The genetic basis for such a TRD is not apparent, and evidence in support of the hypothesis is weak (compare Paterson and Petronis [1999] with Faraone et al. [1999] and Schwab et al. [1999]). Moreover, if TRD were ubiquitous in females, then we would expect noteworthy linkage signals on this region of 10p in other female-preponderant diseases. Yet no such linkages have been observed in genome scans for non-BRCA1 and non-BRCA2 ovarian cancer (Sekine et al. 2001), female obesity (Stone et al. 2002), anorexia nervosa (Devlin et al. 2002; Grice et al. 2002), panic disorder and agoraphobia (Gelernter et al. 2001), or systemic lupus erythematosus (Gray-McGuire et al. 2001; Rao et al. 2001).

Several alternative explanations emerge for the suggestive and significant linkages observed, for complex traits, on 10p. For example, a locus could exist on chromosome 10p that generically increases susceptibility to a range of complex disorders; however, the range of traits for which linkage has been observed renders such an explanation unlikely. Alternatively, because chromosome 10p harbors a large number of genes, variants therein might contribute in unique or interactive ways to a variety of complex traits. More instances of overlap in linkage signals (whether real or spurious) are to be expected as more genome scans are performed.

It is also conceivable that some of the coincident linkages on 10p reflect shared underlying biological mechanisms. One intriguing relation is between BN and obesity.

There are several lines of evidence suggesting a relationship between these two traits. A substantial minority of women with BN report a history of obesity (Garfinkel et al. 1980; Johnson-Sabine et al. 1988); familial obesity is elevated in women with BN in comparison with AN (Garfinkel et al. 1980; Grace et al. 1985; Vieselman and Roig 1985); risk of obesity differs significantly between women with BN and healthy control women (Fairburn et al. 1997); and childhood obesity and parental obesity distinguish significantly between women with BN and control women with other psychiatric disorders (Fairburn et al. 1997). In addition, a bivariate twin study has shown a moderate genetic correlation between obesity (BMI >30) and binge-eating in females (Bulik et al., in press). Finally, Levitan et al. (2001) observed an association between a 5-HT1B polymorphism and the minimum past BMI in women with BN, suggesting an association between body weight regulation and serotonergic receptor function in these women. Although expressed obesity was a criterion for exclusion from the present study, it is possible that a tendency toward
weight gain could be associated with the onset of the unhealthy eating and weight-loss behaviors characteristic of BN.

The next stages of our research will include the exploration of likely candidate genes under the observed linkage peaks. We will focus on candidates that may be related to serotonergic dysregulation (because abnormalities in serotonin function have been frequently observed in women with BN [Kaye et al. 1998, 2001]), as well as on genes that influence appetite and weight regulation. In addition, because we collected similar behavioral covariate information on both the AN ARP sample and the present BN ARP sample, we hope that the use of well-articulated diagnostic information, together with rational and common covariates for the combined sample, will provide a means to identify genes that influence risk of both AN and BN.
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