Alcohol Use Disorder Comorbidity in Eating Disorders: A Multicenter Study


Received July 16, 2003; accepted Jan. 21, 2004. From the Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill (Dr. Bulik); the Department of Psychology, Michigan State University, East Lansing (Dr. Klump); the Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa. (Drs. Thornton, Devlin, and Kaye); the Program for Eating Disorders and the Department of Psychiatry, Toronto General Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (Drs. Kaplan and Woodside); Klinik Rosenock, Hospital for Behavioral Medicine, affiliated with the University of Munich, Freien, Germany (Dr. Fichter); New York Presbyterian Hospital, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, White Plains, N.Y. (Dr. Halmi); the Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles (Dr. Strober); the Department of Psychiatry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis (Dr. Crow); the Neuropsychiatric Research Institute, Fargo, N.D. (Dr. Mitchell); the Department of Psychiatry, Neurobiology, Pharmacology, and Biotechnologies, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy (Drs. Rotondo, Mauri, and Cassano); the Department of Psychology, University of Iowa, Iowa City (Dr. Keel); and the Center for Neurobiology and Behavior, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia (Dr. Berrettini).

Financial disclosure appears at the end of this article.

The authors thank the Price Foundation, Geneva, Switzerland, for their support of the clinical collection of participants and data analysis. The authors are indebted to the participating families for their contribution of time and effort in support of this study.

Corresponding author and reprints: Cynthia M. Bulik, Ph.D., Department of Psychiatry, 101 Manning Dr. CB #7160, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7160 (e-mail: cbulik@med.unc.edu).

Estimates of the prevalence of comorbid substance abuse and/or dependence in clinical samples of women with bulimia nervosa range between 3% and 50%. Parameters that have varied across studies and that influence comorbidity estimates include the nature of the clinical service (inpatient vs. outpatient), the definition of and assessment procedures for both eating disorders and substance use disorders, whether current or lifetime diagnoses were assessed, the distorting effects of any exclusion criteria for clinical trials, and the age of patients studied. Overall, the majority of studies have observed an elevated prevalence of alcohol use disorders (AUDs) in clinical samples of women with bulimia nervosa. In a review of 25 studies of the prevalence of alcohol abuse or dependence in women with bulimia nervosa in clinical samples, Holderness et al. calculated a median prevalence of 22.9%.

Background: Eating disorders and alcohol use disorders (AUDs) commonly co-occur, although the patterns of comorbidity differ by eating disorder subtype. Our aim was to explore the nature of the comorbid relation between AUDs and eating disorders in a large and phenotypically well-characterized group of individuals.

Method: We compared diagnostic and personality profiles of 97 women with lifetime anorexia nervosa only, 282 women with lifetime bulimia nervosa only, and 293 women with a lifetime history of both anorexia and bulimia nervosa with binge eating (ANBN) (DSM-IV criteria). All individuals were participants in a multicenter study of the genetics of anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. We explored pattern of onset, Axis I and II comorbidity, and personality characteristics of individuals with and without AUDs by eating disorder subtype. Personality characteristics were assessed with the Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale, the Temperament and Character Inventory, and the Barratt Impulsivity Scale.

Results: Alcohol use disorders were significantly more prevalent in women with ANBN and bulimia nervosa than in women with anorexia nervosa (p = .0001). The majority of individuals reported primary onset of the eating disorder, with only one third reporting the onset of the AUD first. After eating disorder subtype was controlled for, AUDs were associated with the presence of major depressive disorder, a range of anxiety disorders, and cluster B personality disorder symptoms. In addition, individuals with AUDs presented with personality profiles marked by impulsivity and perfectionism.

Conclusions: Individuals with eating disorders and AUDs exhibit phenotypic profiles characterized by both anxious, perfectionistic traits and impulsive, dramatic dispositions. These traits mirror the pattern of control and dyscontrol seen in individuals with this comorbid profile and suggest that anxiety modulation may be related to alcohol use in this group.

(J Clin Psychiatry 2004;65:1000–1006)
Comorbidity of AUDs appears to differ across subtypes of eating disorders and may reflect differences in patterns of familial liability to psychiatric illness or differences in temperament and personality between individuals with anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. In sum, the majority of studies suggest that although AUDs are relatively uncommon in the restricting subtype of anorexia nervosa, the prevalence of AUDs in women with anorexia nervosa with bulimic features appears to be comparable to or exceed that in women with normal-weight bulimia nervosa.

Careful illumination of onset patterns may shed light on differential mechanisms of comorbid association or unique mechanisms of symptom causation across eating disorder subtypes. For example, if AUD preceding bulimia nervosa is associated with statistically different patterns of Axis I or II comorbidity compared with bulimia nervosa preceding AUD, then nested within the larger category of bulimia nervosa may be heterogeneity of etiologic factors. In some cases, for example, AUD may function to moderate binge eating, whereas other onset patterns may imply a common underlying liability. Therefore, variations in risk or liability factors may be implied or imputed through the study of comorbidity, as well as the chronology of onset, of these disorders. In essence, this approach is central to the development of paradigms for refining the study of causative mechanisms underpinning coaggregated disorders.

Several pertinent issues central to this approach have been only partially addressed, due either to the size and nature of the samples investigated or to limitations in the range of variables that have been explored. For example, pattern of onset of AUDs relative to the onset of eating disorders remains unclear, in part because many studies have explored undifferentiated alcohol and other drug abuse. Wiseman et al. compared comorbidity patterns in female patients with an eating disorder and substance dependence with patterns in individuals with an eating disorder and without substance dependence. They did not focus on alcohol only and included a broad range of substances. Of the patients with an eating disorder and substance dependence, 34.8% reported that the onset of the substance abuse preceded the onset of the eating disorder, and the remainder reported that their eating disorder preceded the onset of the substance abuse. The authors found that individuals in this group who developed substance abuse first were more likely to be dependent on a greater number of substances and were also more likely to have cluster B personality disorders compared with those who developed an eating disorder first and those with no comorbid substance use disorders. Individuals in the group who reported that the onset of eating disorders was first reported the greatest number of comorbid diagnoses and were significantly more likely to have panic disorder and social phobia compared with the other 2 groups. Although these findings are intriguing, the impact of including a broad range of substance use disorders on the results is unclear. Given that AUDs are commonly reported forms of substance abuse reported by women with bulimia, we chose to focus on alcohol abuse and dependence.

The goals of the present study were to further characterize the nature of the comorbid relationship between eating disorders and AUDs by (1) comparing the prevalence of alcohol abuse and dependence across well-defined eating disorder subtypes, (2) documenting patterns of onset of AUDs relative to eating disorders across eating disorder subtypes, (3) determining whether there are differences in comorbidity patterns and personality between individuals who experience eating disorders versus AUDs first, (4) exploring diagnostic comorbidity profiles associated with AUDs in individuals with eating disorders, and (5) exploring psychological and personality features associated with AUDs in individuals with eating disorders.

**METHOD**

**Collaborative Arrangements**

The current initiative was developed through a cooperative arrangement between the Price Foundation (Geneva, Switzerland), the University of Pittsburgh (Pittsburgh, Pa.), and other academic sites in North America and Europe. The sites of collaborative arrangement, selected on the basis of experience in the assessment of eating disorders and geographical distribution, included University of Pittsburgh (W.H.K.); Cornell University, White Plains, N.Y. (K.A.H.); University of California at Los Angeles (M.S.); University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (A.S.K., D.B.W.); University of Munich, Munich, Germany (M.M.F.); University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy (A.R., G.B.C., M.M.); University of North Dakota, Fargo (J.E.M.); University of Minnesota, Minneapolis (S.C.), University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia (W.H.B.); and Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass. (P.K.). Each site obtained approval separately from its own institutional review board. All participants provided written informed consent.

**Participants**

Inclusion criteria for probands and affected relatives have been described in detail elsewhere. The sample was ascertained on the basis of a proband with bulimia nervosa who also had at least 1 affected relative with an eating disorder. For probands, acceptance into the study required that they met the following criteria. (1) DSM-IV lifetime diagnosis of bulimia nervosa, purging type. Purging had to include regular vomiting, with other means of purging optional; binge eating and vomiting must have occurred at least twice a week for a duration of at least 6 months. (2) Age between 13 and 65 years. A current or lifetime history of anorexia nervosa was acceptable (e.g., bulimia nervosa...
were excluded from the study. Of the probands included, and anorexia nervosa). Potential probands were excluded if they had a lifetime history of any of the following: mental retardation, dementia, organic brain syndromes, psychotic disorders, Turner's syndrome, or any medical condition that could affect appetite, body weight, or eating (e.g., subjects with diabetes and thyroid conditions were excluded if the onset of the disease preceded the onset of the eating disorder). Individuals with bipolar I or bipolar II disorder were excluded only if symptoms of bulimia nervosa occurred exclusively during manic or hypomanic episodes. Probands with neuropsychiatric disorders, such as seizure disorder, were excluded unless seizures developed as a result of trauma and clearly after the onset of the eating disorder. Individuals whose premorbid weight exceeded the 95th percentile for their age and gender for a comparable epidemiologic sample or whose highest lifetime body mass index (BMI) was greater than 35 kg/m² were excluded from the study. Of the probands included, 97.6% were white, 2.1% were Hispanic, and 0.3% were Asian.

Affected relatives were biologically related to the proband (e.g., siblings, half-siblings, cousins, aunts, uncles, grandparents, second cousins). Affected relatives were required to be between the ages of 13 and 65 years and have at least 1 of the following lifetime eating disorder diagnoses: (1) DSM-IV bulimia nervosa, purging type or non-purging type; (2) DSM-IV anorexia nervosa, restricting type or binge-eating/purging type (criteria were modified for this study to include individuals with and without amenorrhea); and (3) eating disorder not otherwise specified (NOS)-1, defined as subthreshold anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa. Exclusion criteria for affected relatives included all exclusion criteria listed for the probands with the following additional criteria: (1) monozygotic twin of the proband; (2) biological parent with an eating disorder, unless there was another affected family member with whom the parent could be paired; and (3) a diagnosis of binge-eating disorder as the only lifetime eating disorder diagnosis.

The final sample for this study included 672 participants with anorexia nervosa (N = 97), bulimia nervosa (N = 282), or both anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa (including anorexia nervosa with binge eating) (N = 293). We refer to the latter group as ANBN for convenience. Due to a small sample size and substantial within-group heterogeneity, individuals with a primary diagnosis of eating disorder NOS were excluded.

Eating Disorder Phenotypes and General Clinical Information

Lifetime diagnoses and expanded phenotypic information about eating disorders in probands and affected relatives were characterized using a modified version of the Structured Interview for Anorexic and Bulimic Disorders. In addition, eating disorder diagnoses were made using an expanded version of module H of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I) to obtain information such as age at onset, severity of illness or recovery status, and number of months since last eating disorder symptoms. Additional information obtained from probands and affected relatives included gender, date of birth, height, and weight history. Body mass index was based on self-reports of height and weight. Values were calculated for the current, lifetime minimum, and lifetime maximum BMI. Participants responded to demographic questionnaires designed to elicit information about ethnicity, marital status, religious orientation, family structure, occupation, and education. Interviewer qualifications and interview procedures are described in detail elsewhere.

Assessment of Comorbid Axis I and II Disorders

To characterize lifetime AUD (defined as lifetime alcohol abuse or dependence), as well as other Axis I and II disorders, participants were interviewed with the SCID-I and the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II Disorders (SCID-II). The SCID-I and SCID-II are semi-structured, clinician-administered interviews designed to diagnose the major DSM-IV Axis I and II disorders and to include most of the information that is diagnostically important to researchers.

Assessment of Personality and Temperament

In addition to diagnostic information, we compared responses to a number of self-report questionnaires between participants with and without comorbid alcohol abuse or dependence. These included the Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (MPS), which assesses 6 dimensions of perfectionism in the areas of concern over mistakes, doubts about actions, personal standards, organization, perceived parental expectations, and perceived parental criticism, and the Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI), which measures 7 dimensions of personality, including novelty seeking, harm avoidance, reward dependence, persistence, cooperativeness, self-directedness, and self-transcendence. To characterize impulsivity, we added the Barratt Impulsivity Scale-11 (BIS). The BIS is a 30-item self-report measure of impulsiveness, currently in its 11th revision. This scale provides 3 measures of impulsivity: motor, cognitive, and nonplanning. The BIS results were available for only 30% of the participants.

Statistical Analyses

Logistic regression with generalized estimating equation (GEE) corrections for nonindependence of the sample was applied to the data (1) to compare rates of alcohol abuse and dependence across eating disorder subtypes; (2) to predict AUD from Axis I and II disorders, eating disorder diagnostic group, and the interaction of the Axis I and II disorders and eating disorder group; and (3) to
To investigate the effect of the psychological measures on the order of onset of AUD relative to eating disorder, logistic regressions with GEE corrections were again applied, predicting order of onset from the psychological measures. Those participants whose AUD and eating disorder onset occurred in the same year were removed from these analyses.

**RESULTS**

**Diagnostic Groupings**

The sample was divided into 3 diagnostic categories: anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and ANBN. The ANBN group comprised individuals who had a lifetime history of both anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa or anorexia nervosa with binge eating. We explored age differences at time of study. Age did not differ significantly across the 3 groups (anorexia nervosa, mean ± SD = 26.64 ± 9.71 years; ANBN, 29.30 ± 9.10 years; bulimia nervosa, 27.75 ± 8.98 years; NS), and there were no significant differences for AUD, eating disorder diagnosis, or the AUD-by-eating disorder interaction.

**Prevalence of Alcohol Use Disorder by Eating Disorder Subtype**

Table 1 presents the number and percentage of individuals in each diagnostic subgroup who met criteria for alcohol abuse or dependence. Significant differences emerged across groups. Alcohol abuse was significantly less prevalent in individuals with anorexia nervosa or ANBN than in individuals with bulimia nervosa. For alcohol dependence, the prevalence was significantly lower in individuals with anorexia nervosa than in individuals with ANBN or bulimia nervosa.

**Patterns of Onset of Eating Disorders and Alcohol Use Disorders**

Of the 253 individuals with AUDs, 82 (32%) experienced the onset of AUDs prior to eating disorders, 136 (54%) experienced the onset of eating disorders prior to AUDs, 23 (9%) experienced the onset of both eating disorders and AUDs in the same year, and 12 women had no age recorded. Patterns of onset by eating disorder subtype are presented in Table 2. There were no significant differences in patterns of onset across eating disorder subtypes: Fisher exact test, p < .42. The mean ± SD ages at onset of anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and AUD were as follows: anorexia nervosa, 18.94 ± 5.97 years; bulimia nervosa, 18.49 ± 4.49 years; and AUD, 19.65 ± 5.77 years.

**Features Associated With Order of Onset**

We then attempted to determine whether there were any patterns of psychological features that were associated with order of onset of eating disorder and AUD. We conducted logistic regression with GEE correction, identifying the standardized psychological variables as the independent variables and order of onset as the dichotomized dependent variable. The onset of AUD first was associated with higher level of parental criticism ($\chi^2 = 5.05$, p = .02). No other significant associations were observed.

**Axis I and II Comorbidity**

Table 3 presents results of the logistic regression predicting AUDs on the basis of the presence or absence of Axis I and II disorders while controlling for the indepen-
**Table 3. Logistic Regression Predicting Alcohol Use Disorders on the Basis of Axis I and II Disorders and Eating Disorder Group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Axis I and II Diagnoses</th>
<th>Eating Disorder Diagnosis, χ²</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major depressive disorder</td>
<td>7.99 .005</td>
<td>1.84 (1.25 to 2.70)</td>
<td>29.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized anxiety disorder</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>11.41 .0007</td>
<td>1.73 (1.27 to 2.36)</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>5.63 .02</td>
<td>1.75 (1.11 to 2.76)</td>
<td>32.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social phobia</td>
<td>7.86 ,006</td>
<td>1.77 (1.20 to 2.60)</td>
<td>32.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific phobia</td>
<td>5.95 ,015</td>
<td>1.77 (1.13 to 2.77)</td>
<td>30.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>14.04 .0002</td>
<td>2.40 (1.55 to 3.70)</td>
<td>25.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster B</td>
<td>13.16 .0003</td>
<td>2.25 (1.48 to 3.42)</td>
<td>25.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster C</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As there were no significant alcohol use disorder—by—eating disorder interactions, these were removed from the model.

*Value is indicated if significant.

p = .0001.

**Table 4. Regression Analyses (using GEE) Predicting Alcohol Use Disorders on the Basis of Psychological and Personality Features and Eating Disorder Group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological Variable</th>
<th>Eating Disorder Diagnosis, χ²</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barratt Impulsivity Scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>10.83 .0044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor</td>
<td>5.25 .02</td>
<td>1.52 (1.12 to 2.08)</td>
<td>8.31 .0157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-planning</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>9.04 .0109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperament and Character Inventory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm avoidance</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>31.28 .0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novelty seeking</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>31.69 .0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward dependence</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>31.75 .0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>31.17 .0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperativeness</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>30.76 .0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-directedness</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>29.40 .0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-transcendence</td>
<td>4.53 .03</td>
<td>1.21 (1.02 to 1.43)</td>
<td>37.59 .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern over mistakes</td>
<td>6.27 .01</td>
<td>1.23 (1.05 to 1.45)</td>
<td>29.74 .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubts about actions</td>
<td>4.04 .04</td>
<td>1.18 (1.01 to 1.39)</td>
<td>28.26 .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal standards</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.64 .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.05 .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental criticism</td>
<td>16.70 .0001</td>
<td>1.43 (1.21 to 1.69)</td>
<td>30.49 .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental expectations</td>
<td>4.72 .03</td>
<td>1.20 (1.02 to 1.42)</td>
<td>32.58 .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total perfectionism</td>
<td>9.63 .002</td>
<td>1.30 (1.10 to 1.54)</td>
<td>31.56 .0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Value is indicated if significant.

Abbreviation: GEE—generalized estimating equation.

Alcohol use disorders were significantly associated with increased prevalence of major depressive disorder (80% of those with major depressive disorder vs. 67% of those without it had an AUD), obsessive-compulsive disorder (51% vs. 36%), posttraumatic stress disorder (19% vs. 11%), social phobia (25% vs. 17%), specific phobia (20% vs. 13%), borderline personality disorder (24% vs. 11%), and cluster B symptoms (26% vs. 13%).

**Temperament and Personality Characteristics**

For these analyses, we compared personality and temperament variables across individuals with and without AUDs. Those participants with AUDs scored significantly higher on the motor subscale of the BIS (mean ± SD = 23.5 ± 4.5 vs. 21.4 ± 4.2), higher on the self-transcendence scale of the TCI (16.2 ± 6.4 vs. 14.6 ± 6.7), and higher on MPS total perfectionism (95.8 ± 22.7 vs. 89.1 ± 24.3), concern over mistakes (31.1 ± 9.1 vs. 28.9 ± 10.1), doubts about actions (12.8 ± 4.1 vs. 11.8 ± 4.1), parental criticism (12.0 ± 4.7 vs. 10.2 ± 4.7), and parental expectations (14.9 ± 5.7 vs. 13.8 ± 5.9) than women without AUDs. Odds ratios and χ² values are presented in Table 4.

**DISCUSSION**

This study serves to replicate previous findings in the literature using well-defined diagnostic subgroups of individuals with eating disorders and extends the findings by addressing important unanswered questions regarding the nature of the comorbid relation between eating disorders and AUDs.

As previously found, alcohol abuse or dependence was significantly less prevalent in individuals with anorexia nervosa only than in individuals with bulimia nervosa only. Although the prevalence of alcohol abuse or dependence did not differ significantly between the ANBN and bulimia nervosa groups, the rates for the ANBN group were intermediate between the rates for the anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa groups. This finding may be attributable to differences in baseline impulsivity between individuals with a predominantly anorectic versus predominantly bulimic symptom pattern and/or familial and genetic factors, as the prevalence of AUDs tends to be elevated in families of individuals with bulimia nervosa but not anorexia nervosa.9

Patterns of onset of eating disorders and AUDs did not differ across eating disorder subtypes. The most common pattern was for the onset of the eating disorder to precede the onset of the AUD. This pattern could simply reflect availability, since access to dieting or binge eating is not bound by legal age restrictions as is access to alcohol. Alternatively, this pattern could reflect the typical ages at onset of eating- and alcohol-related disorders. Another hypothesis posits that alcohol abuse or dependence could follow the onset of an eating disorder due to the increased reinforcing efficacy of alcohol once food deprivation commences. The onset of both anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa is
In summary, whereas prior studies have tended to focus on impulsive traits in women with bulimia and AUD, we have shown that both anxious and impulsive traits are characteristic of these individuals. Moreover, the absence of significant interactions between eating disorder subtype and AUD suggests that similar mechanisms may underlie the relation between AUDs and eating disorders across subtypes. Our sample size, however, precluded detection of significant interactions. Finally, although we did not uncover robust predictors of pattern of onset, or an explanation for why it is more typical for the onset of eating disorders to precede or coincide with the onset of AUDs, it is noteworthy that, unlike anxiety disorders, which tend to predate the onset of eating disorders, AUDs tend to follow the onset of eating disorders. Further studies of predictors of the development of AUDs may assist with identifying those at risk and preventing the emergence of AUDs in individuals with eating disorders.

The results of this study must be interpreted within the context of some methodological limitations. First, the sample included only probands with bulimia nervosa who also had at least 1 affected relative with an eating disorder and their affected relatives. Cases that derive from enriched pedigrees may differ in terms of both severity and comorbidity from sporadic cases. Second, as with all studies that employ lifetime psychiatric history, our data on age at onset of eating disorders and AUDs rely on individual recall. It is unknown whether accuracy of recall of age at onset differs across disorders or whether other issues (e.g., concerns about revealing underage drinking) may influence self-report.

Countering these limitations was the fact that we were able to explore the nature of the comorbid relation between eating disorders and AUDs in a large and phenotypically well-characterized sample of individuals with eating disorders. Our careful documentation of age at onset of both AUDs and eating disorders enabled a more meticulous exploration of patterns and correlates of onset and enabled a more comprehensive comparison across eating disorder subtypes.

These data highlight the importance of continued vigilance on the part of health care professionals for the emergence of AUDs in women with bulimia nervosa as well as individuals with features of both anorexia and bulimia nervosa. Even if AUDs are not present at initial evaluation or commencement of treatment, ongoing attention to the possibility of their later emergence in individuals with...
eating disorders is warranted. Particularly vulnerable are those women who manifest comorbid anxiety or depressive disorders.
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